

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006

APPLICATION NO.	, FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,725	12/04/2001	Narayan Venkatasubramanyan	020431.0884 1188	
53184	7590 12/12/2006		EXAMINER	
i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC. ONE i2 PLACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD			VAN DOREN, BETH	
DALLAS, TX		,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/004,725	VENKATASUBRAMANYAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Beth Van Doren	3623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed he mailing date of this communication.				
Status -						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No	ovember 2006	·				
	action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
·						
4) Claim(s) 1,4-8,11-15,18-23,25-29,31-35,37-41 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Aurilian.	. (
	and 43 is/are rejected					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-8,11-15,18-23,25-29,31-35,37-41 and 43</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to: "						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	*					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	at .	• •				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 119(a)	(d) or (f)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	,				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	•					
application from the International Bureau		a in this realism of orage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		1				
i che no la						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	priouson				

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/17/06 has been entered.
- 2. The following is a non-final office action in response to communications filed 11/17/06. Claims 1, 8, 15, 22, 23, 25-29, 31-35, 37-41, and 43 have been amended. Claims 24, 30, 36, and 42 have been canceled. Claims 1, 4-8, 11-15, 18-23, 25-29, 31-35, 37-41, and 43 are now pending.

Confine Examiner Note

3. Examiner notes that the status of the claims listed on page 18, 1-2, of the remarks are incorrect. Please see above for the correct claim status.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-8, 11-15, and 18-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy et al. (U.S. 6,047,290) in view of Hillier et al. (*Introduction to Operations Research*).

order over the bis one or the bis

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 1, Kennedy et al. discloses a method for generating a supply chain plan, comprising:

accessing data describing a supply chain network comprising a plurality of buffers, each buffer being operable to store a plurality of items and associated with a corresponding time variable, the supply chain network constrained by a constraint (See figure 1, column 1, lines 39-50, column 2, lines 24-35, 39-52, and 57-64, column 3, lines 20-45, column 6, lines 15-25, wherein data is accessed associated with a supply chain. Buffers are used to store items (i.e. resources, etc.) and associated time values (i.e. delivery time, timing), wherein the supply chain network is restricted by the amount of supply of items available);

using an algorithm for the supply chain network (See column 1, lines 39-65, column 2, lines 25-32 and 55-65, and column 3, lines 25-40, wherein planning algorithms are used on the supply chain network);

calculating an optimized supply chain plan, the optimized supply chain plan describing a quantity of items at each buffer for at least one time value of the corresponding time variable and including a list of producers operable to supply the items to each buffer (See figure 2, column 2, lines 39-65, and column 3, lines 7-32 and 40-65, column 5, lines 40-60, column 6, lines 1-15, and column 7, lines 30-50, wherein an optimized supply chain is produced by the planning system, where a quantity of items is described for each buffer based on time values. See figure 2, column 2, lines 39-65, and column 3, lines 7-32 and 40-65, column 5, lines 40-60, column 6, lines 1-15, and column 7, lines 30-50, wherein an optimized supply chain is produced by upstream and downstream planning, where producers are assigned to buffers to supply the items to the buffers); and

adjusting the optimized supply chain plan to satisfy the constraint (See column 3, lines 15-45, column 5, lines 35-60, column 7, lines 30-50, and column 8, lines 5-20, wherein the supply chain is adjusted to satisfy the limited resources/production capabilities), wherein adjusting the optimized supply chain comprises:

repeating the following until a last upstream buffer is reached (See figure 2):

selecting a buffer (See figure 2, column 3, lines 55-67, column 6, lines 54-67, column 7, lines 15-35, wherein a buffer is analyzed);

adjusting at least one time value of the time variable of the selected buffer to satisfy the constraint (See figure 2, column 3, lines 1-24 and 35-45, column 5, lines 20-30 and 42-60, column 6, lines 1-10, wherein priority and due date associated with each buffer is adjusted); and

proceeding to a next upstream buffer (See figure 2, column 6, lines 54-67, column 7, lines 15-35, wherein the decisions propagate upstream); and

repeating the following until a last downstream buffer is reached (See figure 2): selecting a buffer (See figure 2);

planning production to supply the items to the selected buffer at the adjusted time value (See figure 2, column 3, lines 35-45, column 4, lines 48-65, column 5, lines 35-50, column 7, lines 30-65, wherein a production plan is based on downstreaming and time values are adjusted based on this plan); and

proceeding to a next downstream buffer (See figure 2, column 8, line 55-column 9, line 6); and

generating an order plan by planning production to supply the quantity of items to each buffer according to the list of producers associated with the buffer (See figure 2, abstract, column

Art Unit: 3623

1, lines 39-55, column 2, lines 39-56, column 3, lines 15-40, wherein a production plan is generated where producers are associated with buffers).

However, Kennedy et al. does not expressly disclose that the algorithm used for the supply chain network is a generated linear programming problem, approximating this linear programming problem by discretizing the time variables of the buffers to yield a plurality of discretized time variables and by relaxing the constraint to yield a relaxed constraint, or calculating an optimized supply chain plan for the approximated linear programming problem.

Hillier et al. using a linear programming program, approximating this problem using discretized variables to yield a plurality of discretized variables and by relaxing the constraint to yield a relaxed constraint (See page 511, section 1, page 512, section 1, page 513, sections 1-2, page 517, section 1, wherein finite variables are used in an programming problem. In order to increase the ease of solving the problem, a linear programming (LP) relaxation problem is used, wherein a constraint of the problem is relaxed). Hillier et al. further discloses calculating an optimized solution supply plan for the approximated linear programming problem (See page 513, section 1-2, page 514, page 517, page 519, section 1, wherein the optimal solution is derived. See page 25, section 1, page 26, section 1, page 67, section 1, wherein a supply plan is considered).

Both Hillier et al. and Kennedy et al. are concerned with supplying limited resources among competing activities (i.e. supplying customers) is the best possible way. Kennedy et al. discloses building a model of this supply problem, the model including items that flow through the supply chain and buffers that model the flow of these items, the input into buffers being referred to as producers and the output flows from buffers referred to as consumers. A planning

e de junitée de la la commune de la commune

system and algorithms are used to analyze this inflow and outflow of data to obtain an optimal supply chain plan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use LP relaxation techniques (and branch and bound techniques) in order to increase the ease of obtaining an optimal solution by creating a model that allows one to divide and conquer by dividing a large problem into its smaller subproblems and conquering these subproblems individually. See page 515, sections 1 and 2, and page 517, of Hillier et al. See also figure 2, column 3, lines 40-60, and column 7, lines 30-50, which describes analyzing the model in pieces through upstreaming and downstreaming.

As per claim 4, Kennedy et al. teaches wherein adjusting the optimized supply chain plan comprises adjusting at least one time value of a time variable of at least one buffer to satisfy a constraint (See column 3, lines 15-45, column 5, lines 35-60, column 7, lines 30-50, and column 8, lines 5-20, wherein a time value associated with a group of consumers (i.e. delivery) is adjusted). However, Kennedy et al. does not expressly disclose satisfying a lead time constraint.

Hillier et al. does not expressly disclose a lead time constraint.

throwing a Boar Free

and the field of the granning in the later of a most of

The officer Commercial in the commercial transfer of the control

Kennedy et al. discloses time constraints as well as using a model with buffers and a planning engine to manage safety stocks, safety times and timing of delivering items to consumers. These aspects (i.e. safety times and timing) are controlled by flow policies. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include lead time in a safety time aspect in order to increase the user's control over how the planning is performed by including aspects, such as safety time and lead time, to meet deadlines set by the user. See column 1, lines 30-32 and 50-65, of Kennedy et al.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 5, Kennedy et al. teaches wherein adjusting the optimized supply chain plan comprises adjusting at least one time value of a time variable of at least one buffer to satisfy a feasible time constraint (See column 3, lines 15-45, column 5, lines 35-60, column 7, lines 30-50, and column 8, lines 5-20, wherein a time value associated with a group of consumers (i.e. delivery) is adjusted to make supplying the demand feasible).

As per claim 6, Kennedy et al. discloses wherein adjusting the optimized supply chain plan comprises adjusting a quantity of items of at least one buffer to satisfy a lot constraint (See column 2, lines 55-65, column 3, lines 15-45, column 5, lines 35-60, column 7, lines 30-50, and column 8, lines 5-20, wherein the quantity supplied at a given time is adjusted (i.e. only supplying 9 instead of 10) in order to satisfy restrictions on supply and manage lot sizes. Flow policies dictate these restrictions).

As per claim 7, Kennedy et al. discloses adjusting the optimized supply chain plan comprises adjusting a quantity of items of at least one buffer to satisfy a capacity constraint (See column 2, lines 55-65, and column 6, lines 30-50, wherein safety stock is discussed and managing flow rules to assure that a safety stock amount is able to be produced).

As per claim 8, Kennedy et al. teaches a system for generating a supply chain plan, comprising:

A database operable to store data describing a supply chain network comprising a plurality of buffers, each buffer being operable to store a plurality of items and associated with a corresponding time variable, the supply chain network constrained by a constraint (See figure 1, column 1, lines 39-50, column 2, lines 24-35, 39-52, and 57-64, column 3, lines 20-45, column 6, lines 15-25, wherein data is accessed associated with a supply chain. Buffers are used to store

Art Unit: 3623

items (i.e. resources, etc.) and associated time values (i.e. delivery time, timing), wherein the supply chain network is restricted by the amount of supply of items available);

a planning system optimizer coupled to the database and operable to:

using an algorithm for the supply chain network (See figure 1, column 1, lines 39-65, column 2, lines 25-32 and 55-65, and column 3, lines 25-40, wherein planning algorithms are used on the supply chain network);

calculating an optimized supply chain plan, the optimized supply chain plan describing a quantity of items at each buffer for at least one time value of the corresponding time variable and including a list of producers operable to supply the items to each buffer (See figure 2, column 2, lines 39-65, and column 3, lines 7-32 and 40-65, column 5, lines 40-60, column 6, lines 1-15, and column 7, lines 30-50, wherein an optimized supply chain is produced by the planning system, where a quantity of items is described for each buffer based on time values. The optimized supply chain is produced by upstream and downstream planning, where producers are assigned to buffers to supply the items to the buffers); and

a heuristic solver coupled to the database and operable to adjusting the optimized supply chain plan to satisfy the constraint (See column 3, lines 15-45, column 5, lines 35-60, column 7, lines 30-50, and column 8, lines 5-20, wherein the supply chain is adjusted to satisfy the limited resources/production capabilities through successive stages), wherein the solver is operable to adjust the optimized supply chain plan by:

repeating the following until a last upstream buffer is reached (See figure 2):

and the appropriate of the second point

selecting a buffer (See figure 2, column 3, lines 55-67, column 6, lines 54-67, column 7, lines 15-35, wherein a buffer is analyzed):

Art Unit: 3623

adjusting at least one time value of the time variable of the selected buffer to satisfy the constraint (See figure 2, column 3, lines 1-24 and 35-45, column 5, lines 20-30 and 42-60, column 6, lines 1-10, wherein priority and due date associated with each buffer is adjusted); and proceeding to a next upstream buffer (See figure 2, column 6, lines 54-67, column 7,

repeating the following until a last downstream buffer is reached (See figure 2): selecting a buffer (See figure 2):

lines 15-35, wherein the decisions propagate upstream); and

planning production to supply the items to the selected buffer at the adjusted time value (See figure 2, column 3, lines 35-45, column 4, lines 48-65, column 5, lines 35-50, column 7, lines 30-65, wherein a production plan is based on downstreaming and time values are adjusted based on this plan);

proceeding to a next downstream buffer (See figure 2, column 8, line 55-column 9, line 6); and

an order planner coupled to the database and operable to generate an order plan by planning production to supply the quantity of items to each buffer according to the list of producers associated with the buffer (See figure 2, abstract, column 1, lines 39-55, column 2, lines 39-56, column 3, lines 5-40, wherein a production plan is generated where producers are associated with buffers).

However, Kennedy et al. does not expressly disclose that the algorithm used for the supply chain network is a generated linear programming problem, approximating this linear programming problem by discretizing the time variables of the buffers to yield a plurality of

to a contraction of the distance medical masterial sense of the particle

Art Unit: 3623

discretized time variables and by relaxing the constraint to yield a relaxed constraint, or calculating an optimized supply chain plan for the approximated linear programming problem.

Hillier et al. using a linear programming program, approximating this problem using discretized variables to yield a plurality of discretized variables and by relaxing the constraint to yield a relaxed constraint (See page 511, section 1, page 512, section 1, page 513, sections 1-2, page 517, section 1, wherein finite variables are used in an programming problem. In order to increase the ease of solving the problem, a linear programming (LP) relaxation problem is used, wherein a constraint of the problem is relaxed). Hillier et al. further discloses calculating an optimized solution supply plan for the approximated linear programming problem (See page 513, section 1-2, page 514, page 517, page 519, section 1, wherein the optimal solution is derived. See page 25, section 1, page 26, section 1, page 67, section 1, wherein a supply plan is considered).

Both Hillier et al. and Kennedy et al. are concerned with supplying limited resources among competing activities (i.e. supplying customers) is the best possible way. Kennedy et al. discloses building a model of this supply problem, the model including items that flow through the supply chain and buffers that model the flow of these items, the input into buffers being referred to as producers and the output flows from buffers referred to as consumers. A planning system and algorithms are used to analyze this inflow and outflow of data to obtain an optimal supply chain plan. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use LP relaxation techniques (and branch and bound techniques) in order to increase the ease of obtaining an optimal solution by creating a model that allows one to divide and conquer by dividing a large problem into its smaller subproblems and conquering these

subproblems individually. See page 515, sections 1 and 2, and page 517, of Hillier et al. See also figure 2, column 3, lines 40-60, and column 7, lines 30-50, which describes analyzing the model in pieces through upstreaming and downstreaming.

Claims 11-14 recite equivalent limitations to claims 4-7, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Claims 15 and 18-21 recite equivalent limitations to claims 1 and 4-8, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Claim 22 recites equivalent limitations to claim 1 and is therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Claim 23 recites equivalent limitations to claim 1 and is therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

As per claim 25, Kennedy et al. teaches wherein generating the order plan comprises repeating the following until a last upstream buffer is reached:

selecting a buffer that requires a quantity of items (See figure 2, column 2, lines 38-65, column 3, lines 3-40, column 6, lines 22-29 and 40-53, wherein a buffer is selected):

planning production to supply the quantity of items to the selected buffer using at least some of the producers from the list of producers associated with the buffer (See figure 2, column 1, lines 40-65, column 2, lines 46-65, column 3, lines 8-30, column 6, lines 22-29 and 40-53, wherein a supply plan is produced); and

proceeding to a next upstream buffer (See figure 2, column 3, lines 35-55, column 6, lines 54-67, column 7, lines 15-35, wherein planning is propagated upstream).

and this equipment among the setting of a

o na mili gangi ini sambi panasan i

The day of the first section of the section of the

Art Unit: 3623

As per claim 26, Kennedy et al. discloses wherein generating the order plan comprises repeating the following until production to supply a quantity of items to a buffer is planned:

selecting a producer from the list of producers associated with the buffer (See figure 2, column 2, lines 38-65, column 3, lines 3-40, column 6, lines 22-29 and 40-53, wherein a producer is selected from multiple producers based on ability to supply the buffer);

planning production to supply at least some of the items to the buffer using the producer (See figure 2, column 1, lines 40-65, column 2, lines 46-65, column 3, lines 8-30, column 6, lines 22-29 and 40-53, wherein a supply plan is produced);

determining a remaining quantity of items required by the buffer (See column 2, line 65-column 3, line 15 and lines 25-40, column 4, lines 48-65, column 5, lines 55-67, column 7, lines 1-30, wherein quantity remaining is determined); and

proceeding to a next producer on the list (See column 1, lines 50-65, column 3, lines 25-40, column 6, lines 20-30 and 40-52, column 8, lines 30-41, wherein a next producer is used to supply the items).

As per claim 27, Kennedy et al. teaches wherein generating the order plan comprises repeating the following until production to supply a quantity of items to a buffer is planned:

selecting a producer from the list of producers associated with the buffer (See figure 2, column 2, lines 38-65, column 3, lines 3-40, column 6, lines 22-29 and 40-53, wherein a producer is selected from multiple producers based on ability to supply the buffer);

planning production to supply at least some of the quantity of items to the buffer using the producer (See figure 2, column 1, lines 40-65, column 2, lines 46-65, column 3, lines 8-30, column 6, lines 22-29 and 40-53, wherein a supply plan is produced);

than en ading t

Les Bartenrois et & Ench

Art Unit: 3623

proceeding to a next producer on the list if there is a next producer (See column 1, lines 50-65, column 3, lines 25-40, column 6, lines 20-30 and 40-52, column 8, lines 30-41, wherein a next producer is used to supply the items); and

planning production regardless of the list if there is no next producer (See column 3, lines 25-40, column 7, lines 30-50, column 8, lines 5-16 and 30-41, wherein production is planned to make consumer delivery late when there is no next producer).

As per claim 28, Kennedy et al. teaches wherein generating the order plan comprises repeating the following if a quantity of items cannot be supplied to a buffer by a deadline, until the quantity of items for the buffer is planned:

selecting a producer from the list of producers associated with the buffer, the producers operable to supply the items to the buffer after the deadline (See column 3, lines 25-40, column 7, lines 30-50, column 8, lines 5-16 and 30-52, wherein producers are selected to make delivery of the item after the due date);

planning production to supply at least some of the quantity of items to the buffer using the selected producer (See column 3, lines 25-40, column 7, lines 30-50, column 8, lines 5-16 and 30-52, wherein producers are selected to make delivery of the item after the due date); and

proceeding to a next producer on the list (See column 1, lines 50-65, column 3, lines 25-40, column 6, lines 20-30 and 40-52, column 8, lines 30-41, wherein a next producer is used to supply the items).

As per claim 29, Kennedy et al. discloses wherein generating the order plan comprises repeating the following if a quantity of items cannot be supplied to a buffer by a deadline, until the quantity of items for the buffer is planned:

the cite); .

selecting a supply time according to the list of producers associated with the buffer, the producers operable to supply the items to the buffer at one or more supply times after the deadline (See column 2, lines 55-65, column 3, lines 25-40, column 7, lines 30-50, column 8, lines 5-16 and 40-67, wherein timing associated with producers is selected, wherein times after due dates are utilized);

planning production to supply at least some of the quantity of items to the buffer using a producer operable to supply the items at the selected supply time (See column 1, lines 40-65, column 3, lines 25-40, column 7, lines 30-50, column 8, lines 5-16 and 30-52, wherein a supply plan is produced to supply items at the specified time); and

proceeding to a next supply time (See column 3, lines 25-40, column 7, lines 30-50, column 8, lines 5-16 and 40-67, wherein a next supply time (a late time) is selected).

Claims 31-35 recite equivalent limitations to claims 25-29, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Claims 37-41 recite equivalent limitations to claims 25-29, respectively, and are therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Claim 43 recites equivalent limitations to the combination of claims 24 and 26, and is therefore rejected using the same art and rationale as set forth above.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with regards to the rejections based on Kennedy et al. (U.S. 6,047,290) in view of Hillier et al. (*Introduction to Operations Research*) have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. In the remarks, Applicant argues that (1) Kennedy does not teach or suggest an order planner to generate an order plan by planning production to supply

a the sear are Countly to a large that of

Art Unit: 3623

the quantity of items to each buffer according to the list of producers associated with the buffer, that Hillier does not teach or suggest (2) a linear programming optimizer coupled to the database operable to generate a linear programming problem for the supply chain network or generating a supply chain plan, (3) approximating this linear programming problem by discretizing the time variables of the buffers to yield a plurality of discretized time variables and by relaxing the constraint to yield a relaxed constraint because Hillier merely provides a method to see if a fortuitous outcome has occurred, and (4) calculating an optimized supply chain plan for the approximated linear programming problem, the optimized supply chain plan describing a quantity of items at each buffer for at least one time value of the corresponding variable and including a list of producers operable to supply the items to each buffer, specifically because Hillier discloses the simplex method which merely rounds the noninteger values to integers in the resulting solution and does not relate to calculating an optimized supply chain plan, and (5) that there is no motivation to combine these references.

In response to argument (1), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner notes that this limitation was added to the independent claims in the current amendment. These limitations were previously found in claim 24, 30, 36, and 43, now canceled. Kennedy does teach this limitation, as set forth above, in figure 2, abstract, column 1, lines 39-55, column 2, lines 39-56, and column 3, lines 5-40. The optimized supply chain is produced by upstream and downstream planning, where producers are assigned to buffers to supply the items to the buffers. A production plan is generated by associating producers with specific buffers to supply end items to consumers.

the and dograde this is a second to be a subtilier up.

In response to argument (2), Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, Examiner relied on Kennedy to disclose a planning system optimizer coupled to a database as well as using an algorithm for the supply chain network to calculate an optimized supply chain plan (See above, specifically figures 1-2, column 1, lines 39-65, column 2, lines 25-32 and 39-65, column 3, column 5, lines 40-60, column 6, lines 1-15, and column 7, lines 30-50). Hillier was relied upon to disclose using a linear programming problem to generate an optimized plan. See page 511, section 1, page 512, section 1, page 513, sections 1-2, page 517, section 1. Hillier et al. specifically discloses calculating an optimized solution supply plan for the approximated linear programming problem. See also page 25, section 1, page 26, section 1, page 67, section 1, page 514, page 519, section 1, wherein the optimal solution is derived and where supply plans are considered in operations research problems.

In response to argument (3), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Hillier et al. does disclose approximating a linear programming problem using discretized variables to yield a plurality of discretized variables and by relaxing the constraint to yield a relaxed constraint. See page 511, section 1, page 512, section 1, page 513, sections 1-2, page 517, section 1, wherein finite variables are used in an programming problem. In order to increase the ease of solving the problem, a linear programming (LP) relaxation problem is used, wherein a constraint of the problem is relaxed. After relaxing the constraints, an optimal solution may be derived based on the objective function, the functional constraints, and the non-negativity constraints. Examiner is unclear what is meant by applicant's comment that "Hillier merely provides a method to see if a fortuitous outcome has occurred". Hillier discloses well known operations research techniques

The second was the second

" dog F. grand

Land to the second of the second

Art Unit: 3623

utilized to locate optimal solutions using routines, methods, and algorithms. Thus, examiner is unclear as to how this argument relates specifically to that which is disclosed by Hillier.

In response to argument (4), Examiner respectfully disagrees. First, Kennedy was relied upon to disclose calculating an optimized supply chain plan, the optimized supply chain plan describing a quantity of items at each buffer for at least one time value of the corresponding variable and including a list of producers operable to supply the items to each buffer. See above. Hillier was relied upon to disclose using approximated linear programming problems in the calculation of an optimal solution, based on constraints. See page 513, section 1-2, page 514, page 517, page 519, section 1, wherein the optimal solution is derived using approximated linear programming techniques.

Examiner points out that the well-known simplex method, described by Hillier, does not just "merely rounds the noninteger values to integers in the resulting solution". The simplex method is a specific methodology used to optimize the model established in a linear programming problem. The simplex method is a way to identify points in the feasible solution set and "walk around" these points until the most optimal one is found. Therefore, it calculates an optimal solution and thus does relate to calculating an optimized supply chain plan, as the model of the linear problem will represent the assigning of limited resources to activities, such as is the case in supply chain problems. See page 25, section 1, page 26, section 1, page 67, section 1, wherein a supply plan is considered in operations research.

In response to argument (5), In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed

of the second of

invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Both Hillier et al. and Kennedy et al. are concerned with supplying limited resources among competing activities (i.e. supplying customers) is the best possible way. Kennedy et al. discloses building a model of this supply problem, the model including items that flow through the supply chain and buffers that model the flow of these items, the input into buffers being referred to as producers and the output flows from buffers referred to as consumers. A planning system and algorithms are used to analyze this inflow and outflow of data to obtain an optimal supply chain plan. LP relaxation techniques (and branch and bound techniques) are taught by Hillier et al., which are systems and algorithms used to obtain an optimal solution. See page 515, sections 1 and 2, and page 517, of Hillier et al. See also figure 2, column 3, lines 40-60, and column 7, lines 30-50, which shows motivation for combining these references.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Beth Van Doren whose telephone number is (571) 272-6737. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

bvd

December 5, 2006

Beth Van Doren

man recording to the man and the AU 3623

vilmoer Privage Polick or Policia Paradidic Synthesing, a children

ducition form months of the form of the

concettomes sentes Cenies (Chico that has believed 1819" (1940) . . .

to ISPTO Cognitudi Service at prepentative or access to man-